



## Corte Appello PERUGIA

Da: jacob beans [s0501927@stu.newi.ac.uk]  
 Inviato: venerdì 30 settembre 2011 19.31  
 A: Corte Appello PERUGIA  
 Oggetto: Amanda knox Case Solved it explains everything to you in detail take this seriously

This and the Government document what I sent is what happened. (She's totally innocent of murder and rape) You have to understand that a girl on a 13 week student visa does not need to a kill a girl when she goes home 13 weeks later. Also when she went not guilty in court and Meredith Brother went on T.V and said they were not into sex games .im sorry I didn't believe this at all.

If she was there at the scene of the crime she wouldn't admit it!!!! Of course not!!!! She would say anything not to tell the truth!!!!

If she was at the scene of the crime, why would she (she gave the wrong guy ... and didn't admit being at the scene of the crime.)

Admit it, but not give the right guy, thereby protecting the real killer but (i.e. Rudy Threatened Amanda)

Sacrificing her. This guy was there!!!! See other document Who posted this in December 2009 I've looked on face book but took the posts down after. But a person on face book remembers him Sarah Snyder. Does.

You need to remember that he has threatened Amanda of course because he has pleaded not guilty and kept saying it's not me and Amanda has said nothing about him. Rudy is trying to keep Amanda in jail and he wants to get out.

See he thought if she goes to jail for it. Then he can get out!!!! So he implicated her and had a held over her!!!! That's why she's in jail and not once in the police statements has she mentioned his name even though he killed Meredith.

This is what happened

Rudy went to the house at least once and met Miss Kercher and liked her. . So he went around to the house and she let him in. for sex. She refused the sex he threatened her with the knife Meredith struggled and fought with Rudy. Meredith was screaming for Amanda and shouting to Amanda in her room. Rudy put the knife up to Meredith and stabbed her in the neck and she ended up murdered while he was trying to rape her.

He cut Amanda's bra off Meredith because her clothes were being washed in the washing machine. He then ransacked the room stole her money and broke the window.

He then closed the door and locked it and had her phones took the knife with him washed his hands (He knew he couldn't walk outside with blood on his hands everywhere) stood on the bathroom mat obviously there must have been blood on his hands. He had to wash his hands in the sink no matter what.

By this time Amanda was scared in her room with Raffaele She didn't want to go out of the room incase she thought she was next. She must have been living a nightmare herself. I can imagine knowing that a person in the next room to you was being killed and there was screams and what would a female do. It wouldn't surprise me if she locked the door and didn't want to come out because she heard the screams.

Rudy entered Amanda's room where the door could have been locked and she has opened it again and left his footprint and possibly blood on the floor and threatened Amanda to take the knife away and hide it in a safe place.



And probably threatened Amanda again told her that he wasn't at the house or I will tell the police that you were here at house if you blow the whistle on me!! He threatened Amanda with the knife as well and told her not to say anything. Rudy left the house and threw the phones away.

Which one of them was recovered later? The reason being Meredith's phone went missing means she must have had Rudy's phone number in her phone and he was texting her for sex so he threw it away.

When Amanda walked outside a neighbor said they hears two people going past there window and heard the screams as well inside the house. This time she is now trapped and has been seen because she was carrying the knife and couldn't say anything.

She walked back to Raffaeles house with the knife where she stayed there the night. I could imagine she didn't want to come back to the house I don't think I would either.

See you have to remember that this is a very scared girl with hearing screams in her room And then he walks in and tells her to do something knowing that your friend has been seriously hurt or murdered she would be scared. When she was interviewed by the police she said this didn't seem real to me and seemed like a dream.

She gave Patrick's name out instead of Rudy's because of what Rudy told her. She couldn't give Rudy's name to the police. Even though she should have told them the truth about what happened.

Rudy claimed that she wasn't at the house because he knew that in court she would have to contest the D.N.A and witness statements so it keeps her in prison and he gets out.

So she sacrificed herself and goes to jail for Rudy!!! He hoped he would get out And she would still be in jail and used Amanda as an escape goat! So Rudy pleaded not guilty and says it wasn't me.

She wasn't involved in the murder or rape!!! But she took the knife out of the house (because she was threatened) so he's had her over a barrel!!!! This makes her go to jail!!! For something she didn't do.

Rudy's intentions were to just have sex with Meredith that's all I don't think he intended to kill her probably just wanted the sex more than anything else he was sending text to Kerckers phone for sex. They found the knife at Raffaeles house and was concluded with Amanda's Sweat on it and blood of Meredith's D.N.A.

#### • The D.N.A

[http://www.dna.gov/basics/evidence\\_collection/identifying/](http://www.dna.gov/basics/evidence_collection/identifying/)

This is the link for the testing on a lab and the backlog of work they need to get through which means cases must be rushed to get rid of the data and the cases that have built up in the labs across the countries.

Ok the knife, the D.N.A on the knife lasts for four weeks to swab. If somebody has cut there finger on the knife and rinses the knife the D.N.A is there and will stay there no matter what. D.N.A testing can go back as far as 10,000 years in some cases so how long could it go back with blood and a weapon.

If a killer has comes in and picked up that knife. And murdered with it then the two people will find themselves in court probably, because it has two Profiles of D.N.A on it .which would be swabbed and one is strong positive and the other week negative or positive and positive depending on the testing.

The Sink Amanda's blood could of come from anything brushing her teeth-nose bleed menstrual problems cut finger in the bathroom even clipping toe nails and slipped or dug too deep and blood came out and cleaned it in the sink used a cloth in the bathroom anything even a cut lip or using a razor to shave her legs. But the knife was rinsed in the bathroom in any case and Rudy had washed his hands in the bathroom which was Kerchers blood in the sink. When they have tested for it has become positive for Meredith blood and negative for Amanda's blood means Amanda's blood was more negative compared to Meredith's blood which means previously Amanda had blood in the sink from a previous time.

He then went to use the other toilet in the shared house and walked through the hallway if any blood has come from the bathroom and gone to the other bathroom what ever was left over would have been from Rudy when he used the second bathroom he didn't flush the toilet properly and left his facieses in the toilet.

So Rudy has walked through from one bathroom to the other bathroom. The trial in the lounge has come from Rudy when walking through, and to clean up blood from a tiled



floor it can still be swabbed and tested where ever the blood is. Also they can take it back months probably and possibly years when testing for D.N.A.

#### The bathroom Mat

It is Rudy's footprint it's the same characteristics and profile of his foot print which I compared together from the images and websites. <http://www.friendsofamanda.org/footprint.html> under a microscope will probably say this. I have looked at the images and compared them all and it is Rudy's footprint in the bathroom where he has stood on the bathroom mat and rinsed the knife and the blood has swilled around the sink. Saturday, August 14, 2010

#### The Bra

- Meredith was wearing Amanda's bra her clothes were being washed in the washing machine and this is four girls sharing a house and clothes get mixed up in the laundry and get left around and forgot and pick up clothes from each other and miss place them. Leave them lying around. Meredith was wearing Amanda's bra and Rudy cut it off.

See they found Raffaeles D.N.A on the bra which doesn't suggest it was sex games Meredith was wearing Amanda's bra because Meredith's clothes could have been washed and for here to wear Amanda's bra is very probably which would result in Raffaeles D.N.A on the bra itself. Raffaeles and Amanda could have been

- playing and blood and sweat on it with each others D.N.A on the bra. Then Amanda had washed it and Meredith picked it up by accident.
- Meredith probably picked up Amanda's bra and wore it .Girls are terrible for sharing clothes a lot of them do in the U.K its even worse when they are out in clubs and partying. Swap clothes sometimes. I have seen students in England swapping shirts they do at football matches at a lot of it with men as well. This is the 2010 not 1960s

#### The knife

- When they have taken swabs from the knife it has come back with two profiles from the knife. When the knife has been swabbed it's come back positive and that means that the knife has been tested and found two peoples blood on the knife. Yet again blood will stay approximately on the weapon for about 4 weeks it could be longer or shorter I don't have the proper figures on this but my conclusion is its four weeks or around that figure.

That a mean if a person has cut there finger with the knife and rinses it the blood will still be there and when swabbed again will say that it's two or three peoples profiles. If a killer has come in and picked up the knife and murdered with it then it will have the victims profile on the knife along with the killers profile as well depending if there was any argument with the knife i.e. a struggle and the victim defended themselves.

The blood was found on the knife which means Amanda could of slipped with it had a distraction had an accident with the knife when preparing food slipped with the knife cut her finger blood was dripping from the knife ran down the blade didn't notice it had happened rinses the knife under the tap and the D.N.A is still there she might of picked up a broken glass off the floor when holding the knife cut her finger on the glass then held the knife.

This is just common accidents in the home where her blood was taken from a knife. Put the knife down left it for a few days Rudy picked up the knife and killed Meredith with it and cleaned the knife and left two profiles of D.N.A on it and it happens. All it's said from forensics was there was blood on the knife which was tested at the handle and no testing was done on the blade I think. Rudy would have used a decent sized knife for cutting his cannabis up drug dealers tend to use a decent knife for this. Rudy has been seeing with carrying knives in any case and his criminal convictions as well say all this.

I couldn't understand why they don't test on the blade. This again could be a conclusion to mixed D.N.A where a second or third persons profile could be involved in this. And yet again could possibly result in 1, 2, and 3, people being arrested or more even though only one person did the murder.

Still doesn't say that Amanda picked up the knife and killed Kercher with it. All it says is that Amanda's blood was found on the knife. Also the police found the knife at Raffaeles house and what has happened between the times she has left with Raff from her house and gone to Raffaeles house. Has she had the knife? In her pocket



when carrying it as well and slipped over and fell and cut her hand tripped up over the pavement when going to raffs house cleaned the blade when she got to his house and didn't wash it properly.

Or has the police taken samples of blood from her when she was arrested and saliva and hair as well searched the house and found the knife and implicated her to the knife and lied as well about the blood on the knife its all possible.

#### DNA conclusion

The problem with D.N.A is the mixed contamination when it's got two other peoples profiles in it of maybe more. D.N.A is accurate to 98.9% on average. But when police do a crime scene they tend to miss things and also they tend to misplace the contents and it becomes very sloppy it's not D.N.A itself it's the people that use it.

The reason for this is there time and what they do is time consuming and with inside labs when testing if they have 50 cases in it around a country they can miss parts and skim areas where it needs more analysis. When profiling and doing things takes a lot of the time up. When studying at university for degrees in there first year they have to sit on average about 12 modules in there first year of study and maybe 10 in the second year and the third year can be about 9 modules as well.



This is a picture of D.N.A testing comparing profiles.

So they have a lot of work to get through in the time they have. When doing there work in the job is about the same. It takes up a lot of time and it can end up being sloppy work and not accurate like D.N.A is supposed to be. So what happens is people get wrongful convictions because parts of it get skimmed.

What's happened with this case is it has been taken from profiles from one person and matched the blood and tested it around areas and found the same profile of a person and the killer has used the same sink area.

So when they have swabbed it and tested it they found 2 profiles and matched it up at the lab. Where they said that a second person has used the sink and there is there blood inside the sink. Bear in mind the testing for

D.N.A blood could have been from a profile that was 4 weeks previously.

Rudy has used the sink to rinse the knife and Amanda had blood on the sink which was negative so that means previously she has used the sink but maybe cut herself or something like that nose bleed or anything cut toe cut lip shaving legs with a razor brushing her teeth and left traces of blood in the sink from a previous time.

All D.N.A said there was blood in the sink nothing else at all. So it's had two profiles of D.N.A of blood. It's the same as a weapon or anything like that. D.N.A can be accurate or it can be sloppy.

#### The text Messages

The text messages were sent on the 30th October 2007 to a phone where it was went to the wrong cell phone address basically it's just a Halloween prank mainly they went to parties in any case. It doesn't prove anything at all.



The prosecution

This was done terribly and Giuliano Mignini and Manuela Comodi fitted them up as a crime of ritual sadistical cult. But as this prosecutor made it out to be a slaying which didn't happen in sex because they were students and thought that all students are all into sex and mass orgies and things like that .I mean if Mignini is into that things then he should practice it away from the courts and don't involve people into things that didn't happen.

Amanda treated the Perugia as a holiday and wanted to go there have some fun and play around a bit which there is nothing wrong with that.

But I have noticed that in this there is a problem of intercepted phone calls which is illegal and shouldn't be done unless consulted with a Judge first and had permission to do this and a court order as well. Having evidence in court for this and allowing the details to come out shouldn't be allowed and it's breaking privacy laws and peoples right.

If this is the case and they have used this and did not go for a court order for the taped phone conversations that proves that the prosecution is corrupt and illegal and they should be excluded from there job and also

See article

AMANDA KNOX TRIAL TESTIMONY, FRIDAY, JUNE 12, 2009.AUDIO #10LG:

- At this point I would like to ask the Court to listen to a telephone call between Knox and Filomena Romanelli from the 5th. There's an audio.Precisely giving some confirmation on this point. So if you authorize me, it lasts about three minutes.

GCM: Please do. It's the one for which a transcription was requested?

LG: Exactly.

GCM: Please do. Is the telephone call in Italian? [Background noise] Go ahead.

LG: So, this is the telephone call that was intercepted on Nov 5th 2007, starting at 22:29, and the first question that I will ask Amanda is: where were you? Maybe it's better if -- do you remember where you were at 22:29?

- AK: Twenty-two...wait...

LG: Ten twenty-nine, ten thirty.

AK: Which day?

LG: The 5th.

AK: On the 5th...umm...ten thirty...that would be around class time, so...

LG: No, in the evening.

AK: Oh, in the evening, oh, the evening! I was still at the house of these neighbors.

[Telephone call audio: loud ringing or beeping]

FR: Hello?

AK: Ciao bella.

FR: Ciao bella, how are you? [very sweet, friendly voice]

AK: [Italian noticeably less good than now, slow, yet really not so bad, not absolutely a beginner] Oh, fine. I had a good day, without police.

FR: Aah...

AK: But Raffaele received a "call" [in English]--

FR: From whom?

AK: From the police. So we umm just got here, to the Questura, for questioning. But I have to wait outside. And...umm...umm...when he...

[LG cuts off the audio]

LG: I'm stopping it. Amanda, who were you talking to in this phone call?

AK: With Filomena.

LG: And where were you?

AK: At the Questura. I was near the elevator. Waiting for Raffaele.

LG: And the call came on the cell phone? [Strange question, it was Amanda calling]

AK: Yes.



[LG restarts the audio]

FR: Finishes?

AK: That's right.

FR: So you're there again today.

AK: Yes.

FR: Madonna!

AK: I know. And I thought I wouldn't have to go [inaudible squeak but I'm guessing she said "there today"].

And what did you do today?

FR: Me, today, I went to my office to get some information about our contract.

AK: Yes? And how is it?

FR: It's good, it's good. And then I called the agency.

AK: Mmm. And?

FR: And I have an appointment for tomorrow morning.

AK: Tomorrow morning?

FR: At 9:30. Yes. I'm going. Do you want to come?

AK: Um, I have to meet my mom at the station.

FR: Fine, fine.

[LG interrupts the audio]

LG: I'm stopping it for a moment to ask this question. How is it that you were worried about the rental contract of the house? What was your worry on November 5th?

AK: We had to clarify with the agency about the house, because when we paid the rent, we were paying either the agency or the landlady, and what we wanted to do is, we wanted to get out of this contract at the agency, to find another house together.

LG: And who was taking care of these questions?

AK: It was mainly Filomena.

LG: I see.

[Restores telephone audio]

FR: Then let's -- [at this point she switches to English. Cute accent -- but her English isn't really any better than Amanda's Italian! Literal transcription.] We can do in this way, if you want. After that I get in the agency office to talk about what we have to do...

AK: Yes?

FR: ...after I have to go to office to talk with my lawyer...

[A voice intervenes, perhaps the interpreter? LG stops the audio] Afterward she had to go to her office to see her lawyer. [Background murmuring. Audio rewinds a bit and starts again, this time translated orally bit by bit by the interpreter]

FR: ...about the problem of the home because he says that we have a problem.

AK: Yes?

FR: And if...if the agency says there are some problems with the rest of the...rest of the...in legal ways [I think this is what she just tried to say but it's hard to understand. The interpreter simply says "One can't understand Romanelli's English very well" (!) At this point Filomena switches back to Italian.] We're okay because it's all in our favor.

AK: Good.

FR: Then I'll go to work but if you want, we can see each other after and you can tell me how it went.

AK: Yes, and you can meet my mom.

FR: Great!

AK: Good.

FR: Great! Then if she needs anything, we can see about it, okay?

AK: Yes, sure. Call me, okay?

FR: Okay, fine. Say hi to Raffaele.

AK: Okay.

FR: Take it easy, Amanda. Bye.



AK: Sure, of course. Oh, right now somebody wants to talk to me. Ciao bella.

FR: Ciao, ciao.

[End telephone audio]

### The Psychology Report

They claimed that Amanda was living a double life where she is psychotic and narcissistic. I find this to be very untrue she doesn't seem to be a person like that at all and I can't see this. She's more wrapped up in security and doesn't seem to trust people but tries to avoid people in difficult situations. She seems to be more frightened more than anything else and likes her friends because she feels safe around them.

The report based on the previous analysis was totally incorrect. They gave her a profile of an assassin where I can't see her hurting a fly at all. She seems very funny and likes to have a laugh around people which is totally normal.

- I can't see where they got this from. You have to remember that she was there in Perugia in Italy to have a holiday she's a U.S citizen not from Italy so the reports would be false in any case it wouldn't be of a true person she is not a home in her own country and with friends she knows or her family as well she is in Italy alone so it will be different it won't be correct.

### Final Conclusion

I find that Amanda Knox is totally innocent of Murder and Rape.

- The only part she has done is where she has took the knife out of the house because of what Rudy has done and has been threatened not to say anything about it. I can't see her doing this at all not to another girl who was her friend and got on pretty well. They probably had a good relationship together and were close friends. I know that Rudy had threatened Amanda 100% in total there is no doubt about that.

With Amanda hearing screams in her room and then he comes in she will do what ever he says especially if there is dripping blood everywhere she would be scared no doubt at it. Rudy was more concerned about Meredith but trapped Amanda.

- When she does get out prison I can't see her trusting anybody again and personally what she has been through I can understand that totally. It's a shame that a girl has been wrongfully convicted in this matter and needs to be sorted out and released under time served what ever she says in appeal court. The only conviction for her is perverting the course of justice and she should be released due to the sentence she has served. The police forensics did the investigation in 48 hours which was terrible the size of this and the time scale is very sloppy and they must of rushed it and made a mess I don't know what country in the world does an investigation in 48 hours I can't find one at all and this is a full murder investigation. That proves they must have skimmed areas and missed parts and maybe they couldn't be bothered to do it and just flawed it in total. It's a disgrace and a shambles to the Italian people and there Italian government.

### References

[http://www.dna.gov/basics/evidence\\_collection/identifying/](http://www.dna.gov/basics/evidence_collection/identifying/)

<http://www.friendsofamanda.org/footprint.html> luminol

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Murder\\_of\\_Meredith\\_Kercher](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Murder_of_Meredith_Kercher) Rudy's convictions

[http://web.me.com/j\\_phipps/Methods/Sep22009/Luminol%20Lab.pdf](http://web.me.com/j_phipps/Methods/Sep22009/Luminol%20Lab.pdf)

Luminol testing is like blue light when it picks up traces of blood and shows the blood where it's not visible to human light. Typically, luminol only shows investigators that there might be blood in an area, since other substances, including household bleach, can also cause the luminol to glow.

Andrew Greenwood F.D.S.c (Computer Science)