


6/6
 24.1.2010
 W

TAREK A. SHARIEF
tarek.sharief@yahoo.co.uk.



December 30th. 2009.

Mr. Giuliano Mignini – Chief Prosecutor
 C/O P. Matteotti – Public Relations
 Palazzo Di Giustizia,
 06100 Perugia Italy

By fax : 0039 075 540 5895

Dear Mr. Matteotti,

Could you please give this report to the Chief Prosecutor of Amanda Knox's case; **MR. GIULIANO MIGNINI**. Thank you.

Dear Mr. Mignini,

RE : THE THREE EVIL MONSTERS OF PERUGIA
KILLER AMANDA KNOX, KILLER RAFFAELLO SOLLECITO
AND RAPIST GUEDE

1. **WATCH OUT :** THE KNOX FAMILY ARE DISPOSING-OFF THEIR ASSETS UNDER THE PRETEXT OF NEEDING THE PROCEEDS FOR THE DEFENSE FUND. THEY KNOW THEY ARE LOSING THE APPEAL, THEY KNOW THAT THEIR DAUGHTER IS GUILTY OF MURDER, AND NOW THEY ARE SELLING THEIR ASSETS TO DEPRIVE YOU AND THE KERCHERS FROM IT.
2. **KINDLY AND IMMEDIATELY ISSUE AN INJUNCTION AGAINST THE SALE OF ANY OF THEIR ASSETS, AND MAKE SURE TO INFORM THEIR LOCAL LAND REGISTRY AND MAKE IT KNOWN PUBLICLY TO THE SEATTLE LOCAL NEWSPAPERS THAT IF ANY BODY TRANSFERS THE TITLES< YOU WILL TAKE LEGAL ACTION. AND NOTHING MUST BE TRANSFERRED WITHOUT THE ITALIAN COURT'S CONSENT.**
3. **AMANDA SHOULD BE IN THE CELL WITH A LIFER; NOT WITH A PETTY THIEF, OR A PETTY JUNKIE LIKE THE ONE SHE IS WITH NOW. KILLER AMANDA MUST NOT BE ALLOWED TO HAVE PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT. SHE IS NOT BETTER THAN THE OTHER INMATES IN CAPANNE PRISON.**

4. I WILL DISCUSS ALSO THE KNOX FAMILY'S PSYCHOLOGICAL ARSENAL AGAINST THE ITALIAN SYSTEM; THERE IS NOTHING WRONG ABOUT THE ITALIAN LEGAL SYSTEM SOME OF OUR ENGLISH PRESS, ARE DISTORTING THE FACTS

5. MAKE ALL THE THREE TAKE THE LIE DETECTOR TEST (POLYGRAPH); IF THEY ARE INNOCENT THEY SHOULD COOPERATE, AND IF THEY ARE GUILTY, THE LIE DETECTOR TEST WILL BE THEIR GRAVE YARD.

6. KILLER AMANDA, HER MOTHER EDDA MELAS, HER FATHER KURT KNOX ARE ' AUDITIONING ' FOR ' VICTIMS ROLES ' ON THE INTERNATIONAL STAGE. THEY ARE AS FAKE AS PLASTIC.

7. THERE IS NOTHING WRONG WITH THE ITALIAN SYSTEM OF JUSTICE; TELL THEM TO START ACCEPTING AND GETTING USED TO IT.

If the three are innocent the judge would have found the three innocent. But he found them all guilty; two are guilty of murder and one of rape. They are guilty not only beyond a reasonable doubt; but beyond hundreds of reasonable doubts. And how many reasonable doubt the law expects them to have ? million ? or an infinity of reasonable doubts ?

And the judgment against the low-life three scum bags, had nothing to do with Mr. Mignini any way. Mr. Mignini is just a star in the court room. He was able to convince the rest with the evidence that Junkie Amanda Knox and her poodle Sollecito are the two and the only main killers, and he proved it beyond hundreds of reasonable doubts. And anti Americanism has nothing to do with it. It is all based on the evidence; not on Mignini. There is nothing wrong with the Americans; they are lovely people, but Amanda Knox is not one of them; Amanda is a killer. One of the killers is American, the second killer is an Italian and the third is rapist from the Ivory Coast. This case has nothing to do with politics.

The three never had one proper defence. No alibi. All the three were at the crime scene the night before and the evening (afternoon) before. All the three lied up to their ears. They relied in their defence not on evidence, not on alibi, but only on denials and trivialization of the evidence found against them.

No hard core facts produced by them.

LENIENT SENTENCE :

The judgment of 26 years for Amanda and 25 years for Sollecito was very lenient indeed. The Kerchers will never see their daughter again; but the Knoxes are still having their daughter in flesh and blood alive; in the next 26 years she'll get few trashes in prison every now, and then (without doubt); but that is not half as bad as the agonizing death that Meredith suffered under her hand.

Both (Killer Amanda and Killer Sollecito) should have gotten at least a life sentence; or even more appropriate for them; the lethal injection or the electric chair or hanged. They are killers. Killers are always hanged in the past. In America they still kill them in some states with lethal injection or electric chair. Or even incarcerate them without charge for five or six years before they charge them.

So forget the myth that America can lecture people on leniency or human rights.

In Italy their legal system is excellent. Their sentences are very humane and lenient. But at present the three should have gotten at least a life sentence. **Life must be for life.** In our country the UK when a dog kills a human being is shot dead on the spot. Amanda is very lucky she is still alive in a very lenient Italian system.

The three are killers without shred of doubt. The press in our country claims, that things and the outcome, would be different " **if the investigation took place in the UK or in the States** ". What a load of lies. That is a load of cobblers. **It is part of the psychological warfare the press and the Knoxes and their PR machine are using against the Italian system to exonerate her. Lie after Lie.** They are playing word games **to influence the judiciaries** in the Italian Legal Syste before conviction. But the Italian Judiciaries are too clever; they didn't fall for it.

In addition, it is going to be a waste of time and resources to protect the two killers, and change their identity, after they finish their 26 year sentence. The public is appalled by their crime and the agonizing death of poor beautiful Meredith.

And it is **for their own protection** that they must stay in prison as long as possible. Furthermore **,they pose danger to the public.** They have killed it once; they have the experience for it and they will kill again; except next time it is going to be a perfect murder; their conscience has expanded.

And that is why both Sollecito and Amanda should be put each in cells in prisons with lifers; not with petty criminals; **they are being treated like celebrities.**

The Italians are doing a good job. If Killer Amanda or her family (who are covering up for her) don't like the Italian legal system, they should not have committed their crime in Italy.

THE KNOXES ARE DISPOSING-OFF THEIR ASSETS; PLEASE STOP THEM IMMEDIATELY

I understand that the Knox's family are selling their house which is worth about \$500,000.00 and probably are transferring titles of their other valuable possessions (assets) under the pretext of needing them to support their legal fees.

Watch-out; they are to cunning liars; they should disclaim their killer daughter; but regretfully they are taking part in this crime by **covering-up for her** and **obstructing the course of justice.** Not to mention, that they took an active part in defaming the Italian legal system.

Therefore, any assets under the Knox's family different names e.g. (1) Knox, (2) Mellas and under their various (3) Christian names, and (4) his new wife's name

Could you please therefore ask the court and the Kercher's family and both of you and Patrick Lumomba and make injunctions to stop them from selling or disposing off their properties (assets), before it is too late.

That all belongs now to the Kerchers, to you for defamation and to poor black Lumomba..

Please **act quickly and ask the court to make an order and send a copy of the Order to the Land Registry** where their house is.

Also, ask them with a court order, to provide you with a **comprehensive list of all their other assets (properties, savings, bonds, shares etc. etc. and addresses of other Land Registries**, but get in touch first today with their different Land Registries in different locations; wherever they are. Please do it this by fax first to speed up the process and then by mail.

And don't wait. Because you will all lose every thing.

Make even a Notice on the West Seattle, and Seattle newspapers and other local newspapers and warn prospective buyers that there is a dispute over the property(s) No(s). xxxxxx, at the address(s) xxxxx, and deter, and discourage any prospective buyer from buying it(them) and that you would take a legal action against them if they fail do so.

The Knox family are not good people and they lie, they are protecting a killer, and they know that their daughter is a killer; they are just in denial. They are deluded with grandiosity and power. They really believe that Mrs. Hillary Clinton, and their senator Mrs. Maria Cantwell, and Lary King Live, and ABC ant the UK Daily Mail and the UK times, are going to ger Killer Amanda out. They also believe that the Italians are weak and they are going to budge.

Remember their recent move is to transfer (change) the titles of their property immediately to deprive you for the damage they have done to you, to the Police, to deprive Lumomba and the Kerchers from it. Please act quickly and issue an injunction to stop the transfer or change of titles of their assets to others..

Please all of you move in quickly before they sell the property and deprive you from it.

Remember, compensating you for the damage they have done to you, to the Legal Italian system, to Mr. Lumomba, to the Italian Police for defamation and to the Kerchers. All this comes first.

KILLER AMANDA IS GETTING PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT IN PRISON; IT IS NOT FAIR AND IT DOESN'T LOOK GOOD.

The public is upset here in my country that Amanda is getting a preferential

treatment even in prison where **Italian Parliamentaries are visiting her** and treating this killer like a celebrity, and misleading and misinforming the press that this **Jackyl and Hyde**, is really a " a sweet little girl " – to mislead the public - as one of your parliamentaries (I believe from Napoli) had described her during his recent visit. She is not a celebrity; she is a manipulative deranged killer.

Tell the Italian Parliamentaries to stay out of it. **This is justice not politics.** **Most of our English press is politicizing the case; except the Daily Mirror;** they have respect for the Italian System of justice. **The Daily Mail and the Times** have never referred to Amanda as **" Killer Amanda "**; but they referred to " Guede " as **Killer Guede** even though he didn't do the killing; he is a low life scum bag rapist **but not a low life killer like Amanda and Sollecito.** And I believe him. Guede is the more honest among the three scum-bags.

Furthermore, this Killer Amanda should be sharing a cell with another killer (a lifer) **not with** another junkie or a petty criminal.

Amanda poses risks to other inmates; she is a cunning, devious, manipulative and a sly killer. **She should be under Social Workers surveillance and psychiatrists and Police observations for the rest of her life** – meeting with her and assessing her every two weeks by health professionals **now** and **after she is released** in 26 years' time. Remember she is a dangerous cunning and a sly certified killer hundreds of times beyond reasonable doubts..

And If you allow Mrs. Clinton or Mrs. Cantwell to interfere, and influence the verdict or influence your parliamentaries in a discreet way or in any way by the use of their political clout; it will be a mockery and a sad and black day not only for the lovely unbiased Italian justice, but also for justice in the world.

THE KNOX FAMILY'S IS USING PSYCHOLOGICAL ARSENAL; TO INFLUENCE THE ITALIAN LEGAL SYSTEM

It is so sad that in spite of the fact that Amanda Knox is **the main killer** and without her the murder and the rape would not have taken place; she was the instigator and the cheer leader and she is the one who delivered the final and fatal stabs, almost none of the UK newspapers in the UK, regretfully, (except the Daily Mirror), even after her conviction, referred to her as a " killer "; **instead they**

were criticizing the Italian system in a distorted defamatory process to exonerate her and downgrading the forensic evidence and the Italian lab practices. All that to exonerate her.

FEW EXAMPLES OF KNOXES' PSYCHOLOGICAL ARSENAL :

- The UK press (except the Daily Mirror) were saying how she's "**beautiful and sweet**" (which is a lie). She's ugly.
- And "**how intelligent**" she is (which is another lie). She is stupid by definition and by default. Any body who commits a murder is stupid.
- And how this killer is nothing but "**an All Amerian girl**" (I hope not; and she is not an " All American girl " – she is a junkie Killer – " all American girls are not junkies or unhygienic or killers like she is). It is an insult to All American Girls.
- Her mother stated "**I bought her Christmas presents to give it to her when she comes back home with us**" acting as if that her daughter is innocent.
- Her father stated in his psychological warefare : "**I bought her ticket because she is innocent and she is coming home with me**". A psychological trick to influence the Italian judicial system in their verdict. It didn't work. They were more clever than him. They gave her 26 years.
- "**She is going to college**" – it is going to be 26 years before you go to college Amanda.
- Her father said pompously : "**hell I am going to appeal**". Mr. Kurt get this fact right in your brain; **hell** you are going to lose your appeal and most probably the sentence will be increased. You are not going to succeed in your appeal. It is a waste of time and money.
 - Your daughter is a killer and you know it.
 - You Mr. Kurt should have helped your daughter before she turned into crime.
 - You should have discouraged her from taking drugs.
 - You should have discouraged her from stealing money to feed her drug addiction.
 - You should have given her spending money.
 - You should have not neglected her.

- You should have showed her some love and attention; which obviously she didn't have.
 - You should have proved to her that you are a loving father.
 - You should have taken for psychiatric and psychological assessment; she's weared and odd; her brain configuration is gone.
 - She has a stupid and inappropriate smile on her face all the time.
- The Knoxes went to **Larry King Live** and appeared on his show. As if Killer Amanda is running for president.
- **Amanda's father hired a PR company** to do the defence for him. Instead of relying on the evidence; her father is relying on a PR Co. rather than evidence. It is Anecdotal.
- **Now he's selling the property;** because he knows he's going to lose the appeal and lose the house; not selling it because he needs the money. Selling it because he wants deprive (1) the Kerchers, (2) Mr. Mignini, (3) the Italian Police and (4) Lumomba from it.
- They marketed the following statements "**In this country we do it differently**" "**And in the USAetc."**" "**It would not have gone to court in this country ...**" "**etc. etc.**" "**We don't do it this way in the UK**". What a load of empty misleading rhetoric. As if they are experts of what's going on in America or in Britain. **Those ignorant people are making false and misleading statements are talking and disseminating their lies on our behalf.**
- "**In this country Amand's case would not have reached the court**" "**what a load of lies.**"
- "**The evidence wouldn't stand in this country**"
- Killer Amanda's mother (Edda Melas) once said : "**We have been snowed with support**". What a load of lies. Either she doesn't read, or she's deluded, or she is a blatant liar. Sorry Mrs. Melas; it did not work; you were not able to influence the Italian Judiciary system; they still gave her 26 years. Remember the Italian Judiciary System are desensitized; they have seen thousands of criminals and their relatives with crocodile tears.

They are all lies intended **to influence the Italian Adjudicators**. So far it did not influence them. The Italian judicators are too clever.

MR. GUEDE IS SINGING LIKE A PARROT

Mr. Guede started to sing, and to tell the truth and he's going to crucify Amanda and Sollecito. Poor Guede; because he's black and poor; no body defended him. But all our press went to exonerate this so called " the All American girl " Killer Amanda. If GUEDE were white and from America, all the newspapers would have written about him to exonerate him, like they are doing to Amanda now. If Amanda were black and were from the Ivory Coast, none of the news papers would have even thought about her or looked at her; **let alone visiting her at home; like Mr. Douglas Preston from the Daily Mail did.**

THE UK PRESS STATED : " SHE IS BEAUTIFUL " ; let's talk about beauty now :

- I don't think she is a beautiful. It is all part of the psychological warfare to discredit the Italian system of justice and to influence the verdict. But it didn't work. The Italian adjudicators are too clever for the press. They were not influenced with this nonsense. They just looked at the evidence; not at her face or body or hyglene or thefts or her addiction to drugs or where her passport is from or what the press says or what her parents said.
- She has a protruding forehead and two beady hooded eyes very close to each other.
- Her forehead makes monkeys more attractive than her.
- And even scientifically she is not beautiful; her eyes should be further apart from each other at least 46% of the width of her face; and she doesn't have that and what scientist call " The Golden Ratio ".
- Her ratio is less than 30%.
- Monkeys have much higher ratios than 30%.

MEREDITH AND HER FRIENDS STATED THAT ; " AMANDA WAS UN-HYGIENIC " :

Meredith and her friends confirmed it. With filthy toilet habits, condoms and vibrators all over the place. And bringing home junkies. Obviously her parents didn't have time for her; they were probably too busy fighting their divorce case, and ' fertilizing ' or ' being fertilized ' by their new partners; too busy; neglecting in the process their daughter. Now it is too late.

She may even have AIDS or she is HIV infected.

She needs to be tested in prison and segregated from other inmates if that is the case. She is a junky. Her brain configuration is gone or going. Her brain proved that had gone when she killed Meredith.

IS SHE CAPABLE OF MURDER ? Of course she is.

Why do some say she is “ **sweet and beautiful** ”; because they want to imply to the public that she is not capable of murder; she is a woman; she is and therefore must be kind and that is all part of the psychological warfare. Which is a fallacy.

Amanda is capable of more than one murder; she will kill again if you don't monitor her.

She is not only a woman; she is an evil woman – the she-devil and the court was spot on.

Megnini dealt with many criminals. He knows one when he sees one.

26 years is very lenient sentence for an evil murderer. Poor Meredith.

Amanda Knox is an evil woman like many others; and to mention few ;

- **Myra Hindley**
- **Monique Oliver**
- **Vanessa George**
- **Nancy Garrido**
- **Rose West**
- **Angela Allen**
- **and a long list of others**
- **And now AMANDA FOX**

(Welcome to history, welcome to the criminal sorority of the so called “ All American Girls ” and welcome to where you belong – for ever)

The Italian Parliamentarist from Napoli who visited her recently in prison would not have said Amanda was sweet, if she slaughtered his daughter, or she stabbed his neck during sex.

ARE THE ABC TV CHANNEL INTERVIEWS CONDUCTED BY UNBIASED REPORTERS OR BY VENTRILOQUISTS ?

Watching that day an interview with a so called " un-biased expert " about Amanda Knox's case. The Interviewer was telling the supposedly PhD holder what to exactly say. And this PhD holder repeated and mimicked in a parrot fashion during the interview exactly what was expected of her to say by the interviewer; almost word by word was repeated.

Watch it again and have a good laugh.

It is all crooked reporting.

- Amanda's parents went to **ABC and other American TV Channels.**
- Amanda's father thinks his killer daughter is running for a president.
- **Most of the American TV stations were biased asking leading questions and savaging the Italian System to discredit the verdict.**
None of them was un-biased.
- In effect they were instructing and telling the interviewees how to answer.
- The interview of ABC with an a biologist, was like a circus with clowns. You can't help laughing. The interviewer was giving her the answers in a form of questions and the so called expert gave interviewer, the answers requested from her. It is not like a circus; it was a circus.
- ABC must think that all members of the public are innocent or gullible or idiots or plain stupid.
- ABC and Mr. Douglas Preston from the Daily Mail are treating public like idiots.

DAILY MAIL ARTICLE DATED SATURDAY 12th, DECEMBER BY DOUGLAS PRESTON.

- Mr. Preston's article is full of lies and contradictions.
- He is misleading the public.
- He trying to settle old scores with mignini on the expense of poor Meredith Kretcher, on the expense of Mignini and on the expense on the Italian judicial system.
- And he's using the Daily Mail as his own personal platform to settle old scores with Mignini.
- One would think that the Daily Mail would not allow him to do that.
- The Daily Mail has allowed him to savage the Italian legal system.
- How can the Daily Mail allow him to do that ?

In his article he started by saying that as he was " strolling " down the streets of

Florence, his mobile rung and was the police and asked him : " Where are you ? We are coming to get you ".

It is interesting how he understood what they said in Italian. He complained in the article that he was not provided with an interpreter!.

He also stated that " he lived and worked in Italy for many years " and yet he complained that he was not provided with an interpreter during the investigation.

He claims to have written a book about the Italian Monster of Florence and he even read the original documents; but he criticized the Italian Authorities for not providing him with an interpreter!!! How can you read **the original documents of the Monster of Florence** (which are in Italian), if you said you needed an interpreter in a simple investigation ? Either way you are lying.

How could your investigation have lasted for three hours if you don't speak the language and you needed an interpreter ? I am at a loss. A lie after lie from Preston.

Another lie; Mr. Preston stated he took interest in the case of Amanda Knox and thus he read the original documents. Stop lying Mr. Preston. You didn't read the original documents; you don't know Italian remember and you even complained in your article about not being provided with an interpreter. Killer Amanda is expert in lying; she will probably in the Guinness Book of records; but please don't compete with her.

The Police investigated with him for three hours he said, and in his article he complained that the Police didn't provide him with an interpreter.
For three hours the Police must have talked to themselves.

Mr. Preston's claim is anecdotal. He is a very funny man. We are going to miss him if Mr. Mignini decides to take legal action against the Daily Mail for defamation.

His articles guarantee a good laugh.

- Did Mr. Preston reply to them during the investigation for three hours, or where you mute for all that time ?

- Or did they ask you in Italian and you replied to them in English ?
- Did you ask for an interpreter ? No.
- Obviously you didn't, because you didn't mention it in your article and obviously you didn't need one.

He also defended **Killer Amanda** by saying she was not provided with an interpreter.

When this was claimed and waved like a flag to discredit legal system, the court laughed at her falseclaim (of not being provided with an interpreter) without saying one word.

One would ask, how did the court laugh at her and the people like her e.g. Prestpn, without saying one word in their defence ?

Simple; they brought her in court and made her talk in Italian, defending her self in **impeccable Italian** for about 20 minutes.

I know it is **impeccable Italian** because I speak and write **impeccable Italian**.

Mr. Preston is only playing word games.

In his book he said; that he and his Italian Journalist " criticized " Mignini.

WHO IS MR. DOUGLAS PRESTON TO CRITICIZE MIGNINI ?

- What expertise do you have Mr. Preston ?
- What qualifications or experience to qualify you to criticize Mignini ?
- Compared to Mr. Giuliano Mignini; you and your Italian Journalist Mr. **Mario Spezi** are almost nothing.
- Are you Mr. Preston an expert ? Of course not. You Just bluff.
 - Have you ever published any articles in Peer-Review journals ? Never.
 - Have you peer-reviewed any of your articles ? Never.
 - Do you know what peer-reviews call your articles ? You don't. You never read a peer review journals.
 - Peer Review journals have a name or description or label for people's work like yours; which is "you are only playing golf at night"

Sorry Mr. Preston; you are distorting the facts of your bad experience to mislead the innocents and the gullibles, so they can make false neurological connection.

And I happen to belong to none of the two groups you are addressing.

I can draw the line of distinction easily between real experts and the self-acclaimed experts (or bogus experts).

Therefore, Shut it (and I am being very polite); you are not an expert and you don't know what you are talking about in Amanda's case. Stop acting like an expert. **STOP AUDITIONING FOR THE ROLE OF AN EXPERT.**

Mr. Preston now tell us the truth; why were you called for investigation by Mr. Mignini. There is no way they could have picked up or picked on, an innocent man strolling around in the streets of Florence eating eye cream and enjoying the scenery of Italy. **You must have done something wrong and that is why you fled Italy after the investigation and after the warning from Mr. Mignini.**

MR. DOUGLAS PRESTON NOW TELL US THE WHOLE TRUTH; NOT PART OF THE TRUTH:

- Do you look like a Mafioso or a thug ? Look at yourself in the mirror.
- How were you dressed ?
- Did they see you giving or receiving packages ? Perhaps white powdered packages to informers ?
- Did they see you giving or receiving bundles of money in hotels ?
- Some informer or acquaintances must have informed about your activities ? What are they ?
- Do you deal at all with the Mafia or Mafiosi in your line of work ?
- Did they see you following people ?
- Did they see you bribing people ?
- Are you on their CCTV ?
- Are you still going to Italy ?
- If you don't know Italian and complained about not having an interpreter during the investigation, who made the research and wrote book " the Monster of Florence " for you ? If you didn't write it, why did you put your name on it ?

- What was exactly that you have personally contributed, in this book, if you needed an interpreter during a simple investigation ?
- (remember that you don't know the lingo and you even complained about not being provided with an interpreter during the investigation –clutching at straws to settle old scores with Mignini on the expense of poor Meredith).
- There is no way for Mr. Mignini to investigate with you, if you were not suspected of being involved in some illegal activity in Italy.
- Mr. Mignini doesn't have time for the nonsense you are talking about.
- He is not in the habit of picking up innocent people from the street unless they acted suspiciously.
- He's too sophisticated for picking you up from the street for doing nothing.
- He is one of the best prosecutors in Italy.
- He belongs to the upper class of Italy. HE'S THE CREAM.
- Come come Mr. Preston tell us the truth. What did you really do Mr. Preston ? **Three hours of investigation** is a serious stuff. Come on and open up. You are hiding things from us. And it must be lethal to you in your profession, if you expose it.
- Can you give us a copy of the transcript of the investigation of Mr. Mignini with you ?
- Can you publish the details of the transcript on the DAILY MAIL ? Why not?
- Mr. Mignini didn't investigate with me, he didn't investigate with 99% of the newspapers' correspondents, and he didn't investigate with more than 99% of the inhabitants of Florence and Perugia . So why you ?
- So get the message Mr. Preston. You must have done something suspicious. And you are hiding it from us.
- Stop being in denial. Don't compete with low life scums like Amanda and Sollecito.
- Furthermore, if you have not done any thing suspiciously wrong, you would not have left the country immediately after the investigation ?
- Why didn't you stay ? Or did you think that your activities were illegal or on the border line of illegality, if not illegal 100% ? (down deep – you thought Mignini was right – except that you don't like him.
- Your dislike of him has nothing to do with the degree of his competence.
- Mr. Mignini is a prosecutor; he is not a social worker.

- You stated that he locked up innocent people ? **Could you please name few ? And why didn't you name them in your article ?**
- You stated that he freed Lumomba because " **he had an airtight alibi** "
- All innocent people, without exception, have **an airtight alibi** and Lumomba did, and therefore he let him go.
- " **SO WHY DIDN'T AMANDA AND SOLLECITO HAVE AN AIR TIGHT ALIBI LIKE 100% OF THE INNOCENT PEOPLE ?**
- You stated : " **that the police threw their resources retroactively gathering the evidence to prove them guilty** ". We do the same in this country and we keep thugs and criminals for months on end in prison whilst we collect evidence. !!
- In the States they do the same even worse; they keep suspects for years whilst they are collecting the evidence before being charged. So what is the problem with that ? I don't know what you are trying to imply. In here we have the gravest crime of them all; MURDER.
- " **Many months and enormous resources were devoted to collecting evidence** " we do that in this country too. We spend even more money.
- He stated they never found DNA. No, No No; they found DNA and it was more than sufficient; (1) on the clasp and (2) on the knife and (3) and " **no airtight alibis** " whatsoever for their absence from the crime scene.
- One of the three injuries on Meredith was from that knife. And you don't need to have a kilogram of DNA.
- They are appealing and their appeal is not going to be successful.
- **Rapist Guede** (He is not the Killer) and soon he will put some final touches on the evidence, and fine-tune his lovely admission and the verdict, so his sentence will be reviewed again.
- It is interesting how Amanda leaves in a flat for more than two months, with no DNA in it. The bleach she was seen buying the early morning the day after, with Sollecito must have done wonders.
- What is the name of " **Amanda's Bleach** "? It is going to be sold like hot cakes specially among the criminal fraternity.
- You admitted and you also stated : " **they found a mountain of other damning evidence against Knox and Sollecito** "; but you never dared mentioned even one of what you called " pebbles " of that mountain of evidence. Why ? Does it contradict your lies ?
- You stated vaguely that their lawyers (Amanda's & Sollecito's)

demolished the mountain of evidence pebble by pebble.

- The interesting thing in here, obviously you didn't know what that mountain of evidence was, you just talk big; and it is all empty talk; otherwise knowing you, you would have listed them out to support your nonsensical claim " pebble by pebble ".
- Could you please therefore break down the mountain of evidence and tell us the list of pebbles ?
- Why are you hiding what you called " pebbles "? Are they incriminating ? Are they contradicting ' your bed time story ' nonsense ?
- From (1) to (10) on the article I'll give you (Minus infinity). It is full of nonsense. It is empty rhetoric. Your defense of Amanda Knox is by rhetoric, not by evidence.
- You have produced " no airtight alibi " for their absence from the crime scene or for their presence somewhere else. Why ?
- The **down trodden Guede**, the poor black man who has no Mrs. Clinton behind him, not UK newspapers behind him, no hired PR machine behind him, no Maria Cantwell, no Larry King, no ABC, will put the final touches and an Oscar Winning performance to crucify both killers. The three were together at the scene of the crime.
- I understand he's singing now like Pavarotti.
- Poodle Sollecito will too be singing soon against Amanda; it is just matter of time; **specially when he sees the benefit he reaps for his singing** to the judge, to the prosecutor and to the Kerchers.
- At the beginning of the article you stated : " **I took deep interest in the case** ". It is anecdotal; your article has no substance at all and you took deep interest in it, and I hate to see the articles you write which you didn't take " deep interest in "; they must be total rubbish

Come Come Mr. Preston, what are the illegal activities that you were involved in or he thought you were involved in ?

Example :

Because I know I am innocent in Meredith's Case Murder, I would and every innocent man would, cooperate with the police. Amanda didn't and she lied and she blamed the police for it. And because I know I am innocent, I'll take whole heartedly A LIE DETECTOR TEST which (she is obviously refusing), I'll even go to Italy if they ask me to and if they pay for

my ticket. And because I am innocent I will not tell lies (Amanda did); and I will not accuse Lumumba (Amanda did). Lumumba is her enemy because he fired her.

Amanda's mum stated that : **" she wished her daughter had gone to her friends or relatives in Germany, after the death of Meredith "**. Because she added **" they wouldn't be able to extradite her on the strength of the available evidence"**. Her mother is dreaming. She is deluded.

An innocent person wouldn't make such incriminating statement. And an innocent person wouldn't run away from Italy to Germany. She is incriminating her daughter.

LIE DETECTOR TEST (POLYGRAPH):

Any innocent person would take it. You must make the three monsters of Perugia to take the lie detector test. And it is even better still take additional 10 innocent people and make them answer the same questions you put to the three monsters. And you will see the 10 innocent people results are similar, because they are innocent. But when the three liars of Perugia take it, you'll find their result are too as a group similar; because each of the three made hundreds of lies.

Investigate with them asking them to tell their version of the story backward. And they will not be able to. Because they are liars.

AMANDA KNOX HATES KERCHER AND WAS VERY JEALOUS OF HER :

- At the beginning they were good friends.
- But afterwards, at the end, they fought like hell.
- Amanda was stealing money from Meredith to feed her habit.
- Meredith Kercher is much prettier and cleaner and she's definitely not Junkie like Amanda is.
- Lumumba few days before the murder fired Amanda and was planning to get Meredith working for him.
- Meredith stole the lime-light from Amanda.
- Amanda couldn't live with that.

- Meredith has a lovely Italian boyfriend and he missed her a lot and they are in a very stable relationship.
- Amanda is not in a stable relationship; Amanda picks up drug dealers, junkies and addicts from the street and bring them home; drugs in return for sex.
- Her brain configuration is gone.
- Amanda comes from a broken home.
- May be from a dysfunctional family and that turned her to drugs, seeking love from drug dealers to substitute for love which probably didn't find in with her home..
- Meredith Kercher is completely the opposite; coming from a very nice stable family; excellent up-bringing; she rightly didn't approve of what Amanda was doing; rejecting her pervos advances e.g.vibrators etc. "
- Amanda's parents should have taken care of her properly; which obviously they didn't; she was feeding her drug addiction with drug dealers she meets on the street like Guede. Any one will do; even pink vibrators.
- Amanda was no friend of Meredith. She was an enemy and un-successful competitor. Amanda couldn't swallow that. It was too much for her pride.
- A beautiful half Asian woman beats this " all American Girl " in every competition and in every respect. She couldn't take it. Amanda killed her and was happy afterwards doing the cartwheels and splits, and downing pizzas through her neck the day after being seen buying, bleach thinking in her childish brain which is trapped in an adult body, that she had committed the perfect successful murder.
- Then she blamed the police for her lies.

WHY DID AMANDA PROTECT GUEDE AT FIRST, BY ACCUSING LUMOMBA ? AND WHY NOW SHE'S ACCUSING GUEDE ?

- At first she accused Lumomba because in her ' childish brain which is trapped in an adult body ' she thought she was going to get away with her perfect murder. Probably she even told Guede to flee the country.
- And she didn't want to bring Guede into it.
- The three were at the crime scene and the three committed the rape and the murder together.
- At first she didn't accuse Guede for murder; and accused Lumomba AS A DIVERSIONARY TACTIC.

- She didn't want to accuse Guede at first, because she was afraid that he ll grass her up.
- Now she is accusing him because he started singing and no need for the DIVERSIONARY TACTIC any more. Now almost every thing in the open.

DO THE KNOXS REALLY NEED TO SELL THEIR ASSETS FOR DEFENDING KILLER AMANDA ?

Of course not. Like in this country; UK; Italy's Legal Aid Board asseses the cause of action for the appeal; and if it is credible and based on law or new evidence; the Legal Aid will subsidize it. If killer Amanda has no credible and strong evidence; any appeal will be a waste of time and a waste Italian tax payers' money.

Knox's family know very well that their AUDITION in Larry King live, ABC, and to their PR is not going to work in the Appeal. They know they have a losing case. They know their daughter is a killer. And they know their house is going to be taken away from them as part of the compensation for murder and for defamation. So they are disposing off it.

AMANDA'S NEW SHORT HAIR CUT : Good one Amanda

It was the best advice given to her so far to deal with the hardened criminals of Capanne prison inmates after the first few months of honey moon in prison. With a short hair cut the inmates won't be able to bring her down to the floor by her hair, when they fight in the next 26 years. Good one Amanda.

Her mother said recently that " **this case is a big mess** ". Her mother for once she didn't lie and she is right; her killer daughter caused all this mess.

Remind her mother to start thinking of the agonizing death of Meredith under the hands of two junkies and think of Meredith's poor parents; Meredith was a lovely beautiful girl who was taken away from her family at a young age, by EDDA MELLAS pervo, killer and a junkie daughter.

AMANDA'S ODD BEHAVIOUR :

- She is very sly, shifty eyes and unpredictable.
- She is a very very dangerous woman.
- She has a very odd and scary smile.
- She smiles inappropriately.
- She has grandiose ideas; she thinks she is so clever.
- She has a brain of a mouse trapped in an adult body.
- Her brain and its configuration, is gone, or going with the use of drugs.
- She doesn't know what's right and what is wrong; doing cartwheels, splits and head stands immediately before the investigation with her.
- She needs to be referred to psychiatrists for observation, assessment and control, and to psychologists for psychological testing.

THE ITALIAN AUTHORITIES :

The Italian Authorities independently handled the case in accordance with the law and they took in consideration Amanda's, Sollecito's and Guede's legal rights.

All the three are involved.

Amanda and Sollecito delivered the final and killer blows.

And Guede was the rapist and I believe him.

CONCLUSION :

- All Amanda has to do now, unless of course Meredith comes out from her grave, is to serve her 26 year sentence, on the quiet.
- And tell her to stop lying.
- Stop being in denial.
- TAKE A COMPREHENSIVE LIE DETECTOR TEST.
- Stop trivializing the Prosecution's evidence.
- Stop savaging and defaming the Italian System of Justice; there is nothing wrong with the Italian System of Justice; there is no more wrong things in it, than there is in the UK or in the USA.
- Stop undermining the Italian Legal System,.
- START COMPENSATING THE KERCHERS and the others (Mr. Mignini, the Italian Police and poor Mr. Lumomba) whom she and her parents have defamed.
- Stop blaming the Italian Police for your factual confessions.

- Nothing will compensate the loss of the Kerchers' lovely daughter Meredith; but all this is a good start.
- And tell the Knoxes family to stop disposing-off their assets under the pretext of " needed legal funds ".
- Tell Amanda's family to stop asking for a special treatment for their killer daughter; she is nothing but a low life killer.
- Killer Sollecito knows very well that what he had done is wrong and he's accepting it on the quiet; so he's not creating very much fuss about it at this stage. He is leaving it all to Amanda to defend him.; they have done it together.
- Killer Sollecito really believes that Mrs. Clinton is going to get Killer Amanda out or lower her sentence on technicalities. And it will follow then, he believes, he'll be out too.
- Killer Amanda can't afford losing him and letting him rot alone in prison. Sollecito knows very well, if she does, he too like Mr. Guede will be singing like even a better canari.
- All the three without shred of doubt are involved in the killing.
- One thing that I am very happy about is that Rapist Guede, so far started to sing like a canari. He is going to crucify the two killers.

ADDITIONAL READINGS :

The UK Times Newspaper article dated Tuesday December 8th. 2009 page 4 – Supplement Times 2 - and what **Mr. Alex Wade** (the author) had to say under the title of : " **Should this trial even have reached the court** "..

And another article by **Tom Rowstone on the Daily Mail**. There is also an article on the Daily Mail dated 9th. December page 28 under the title : " **Foxy : the Troubling Doubts** ".

None of the newspaper correspondents visited Meredith's home to talk to her family, so they can write better articles and see it from the other side. ABC didn't invite the Kerchers' family. Larry King didn't either. May be because she is half Asian. It is so sad. They get involved in a murder case, writing and talking about it, claiming to be experts, using public platforms, defending a killer, without involving the victim's family side. It is all one sided. I am appalled.

May be in the future and I ll make the time and I'll deal with the fallacies of the two above articles, half truths, their lies, lying with statistics, how, they mislead and how they misinform, relying mainly on neurological connection, rather than intellectual one, to flog their biased ideas. You'll find in their articles all the components of crooked thinking.

I guarantee, after you read the two articles referred to above, you will have a good laugh and you will beef up your defamation case against them.


If Amanda were black none of them would have interfered. None of them wrote about the down-trodden black Guede. Or the poor victim,

And If the Knoxes involve politicians, I will too appeal to all 198 members of U.N. Killing is a grave crime.

Finally, think of Meredith; not of the low -life three scum-bag killers.

I thank you, keep up the good work and my regards to your legal team and the judge,.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of several large, stylized, overlapping loops followed by a horizontal line.

NOTE :

I am sending a copy of this letter by e-mail to other authorities for their perusal and a copy to **Real Justice for Meredith.**